

MIGRATION, DISPLACEMENT AND DISASTER RISK REDUCTION



PHOTO CREDITS

Cover: IOM/Motus

p. 2: IOM

p. 4: IOM/Bannon

p. 5: IOM

p. 6: IOM/Motus

p. 8: IOM/Pereira

p. 11: IOM/Lowry

p. 14: IOM/Jamisolamin

FOR MORE INFORMATION

IOM focal point for Disaster Risk Reduction:

Transition and Recovery Division

trd@iom.int

www.iom.int/cms/drr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Integrating human mobility in Disaster Risk Reduction			
Enviro	nmental determinants of mobility patterns	ability patterns 3 mental risk 3 obility 5 hazard exposure 5 of natural hazards 5 fility on risk & resilience 7 mobile populations 7 nd opportunities 7 er 9	
	Risk as a driver of mobility	3	
	Moving to manage environmental risk	3	
Enviro	I determinants of mobility patterns a driver of mobility g to manage environmental risk 3 I effects of human mobility g as a determinant of hazard exposure n mobility as a driver of natural hazards strions: effects of mobility on risk & resilience sing the well-being of mobile populations d access to services and opportunities d access to safe shelter marginalization and conflict people as a challenge for DRM systems nities: effects of mobility on risk & resilience ances supporting the households' well-being ances supporting the home economy and cultural progress linked with mobility sing the fragility of home societies 12		
	Moving as a determinant of hazard exposure	5	
	Human mobility as a driver of natural hazards	5	
Mobile	e populations: effects of mobility on risk & resilience	7	
	Increasing the well-being of mobile populations	7	
	Limited access to services and opportunities	7	
	Limited access to safe shelter	9	
	Social marginalization and conflict	9	
	Mobile people as a challenge for DRM systems	9	
Home	s a driver of mobility g to manage environmental risk 3 all effects of human mobility 5 g as a determinant of hazard exposure 5 n mobility as a driver of natural hazards 5 ations: effects of mobility on risk & resilience 7 sing the well-being of mobile populations 7 d access to services and opportunities 7 d access to safe shelter 9 marginalization and conflict 9 epople as a challenge for DRM systems 9 unities: effects of mobility on risk & resilience 10 tances supporting the households' well-being 10 tances supporting the home economy 11 and cultural progress linked with mobility 11 sing the fragility of home societies 12 ating and supporting the host economy 13 aring local service providers 13		
	Remittances supporting the households' well-being	10	
	Remittances supporting the home economy	10	
	Social and cultural progress linked with mobility	11	
	Increasing the households' socio-economic vulnerability	11	
	Increasing the fragility of home societies	12	
Host c	ommunities: effects of mobility on risk & resilience	13	
	Stimulating and supporting the host economy	13	
	Pressuring local service providers	13	
Conclu	Conclusions and recommendations		
Refere	References		

INTEGRATING HUMAN MOBILITY IN DISASTER RISK REDUCTION

With over 740 million internal migrants and 230 million international migrants in the world (UNDP, 2009; UNDESA, 2014), and many more people moving on short distances and on a temporary basis (Tacoli, 2013), human mobility is one of the fundamental drivers of current social and demographic change (Black et al., 2011), influencing the development of cultures, societies and economies at the global and at the local levels.

Together with other demographic dynamics, mobility contributes to the distribution of human population; the location, size, density and composition of communities; and people's access to livelihood options and well-being (Schensul & Dodman, 2013). It is one of the essential features of the continuum of human interactions with the environment (Sanderson, 2009), contributing to define a spatial hierarchy of opportunities and risk, including risk from disasters, among different locations and actors (Skeldon, 2008).

Mobility and disasters are profoundly interlinked: natural hazards and environmental change can be drivers or tipping points of population movements, and can influence socio-economic and political processes that induce mobility responses (IDMC, 2013, IPCC, 2012, McLeman, 2011; Black, 2011; Foresight, 2011; Tacoli, 2009; Kniveton et al., 2009; Renaud et al., 2007; Hugo, 1996; EACH-FOR). More fundamentally, though, the need and capacity to move of different people and groups are rooted onto the same environmental, social, economic and political variables that define hazard exposure, vulnerability and resilience (Wisner et al. 2012; de Haas, 2008a). On the other hand, mobility has a distinct transformative effect on disaster risk: it results in reduced or increased risk outcomes for different groups and individuals - often producing effects of opposite sign at the same time.

From a Disaster Risk Reduction point of view, it is therefore essential to understand both how different exposure, vulnerability and resilience result into different mobility decisions, and how different mobility behaviors result into different resilience and vulnerability outcomes. This requires taking into account how DRR and development interventions expand or reduce the people's capacity to move, as well as the well-being and safety options they can access without moving, and how different mobility management options translate into increased or reduced disaster risk.

The Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 give very limited considerations to these implications. It refers to "changing demographic conditions" as one of the main drivers of disaster risk, along with a number of processes and actions that are directly influenced by human mobility (such as ecosystem management, adaptation to climate change, food security, access to basic services, land-use planning and inclusive preparedness mechanisms), and recognizes population displacement as a potential driver of vulnerability (UNISDR, 2005).

However, by looking at human mobility only as a consequence or cause of disasters, the HFA fails to recognize mobility as a positive force of past and current development of communities and societies (UNDP, 2009; World Bank, 2009). Research and practice, instead, show that mobility's effect on disaster risk are complex, and that the concrete outcomes of population movements on different groups and individuals are largely determined by the environmental, social, economic and political context in which movement takes place (McGranahan et al., 2013; de Haas, 2008). This, in turn, suggests that human mobility should be taken into account in DRR policy for its potential to produce both vulnerability and resilience, and that DRR and, more in general, development efforts should create the conditions for maximizing its benefits and minimizing its costs. This would allow to leverage its full potential for the well-being of those moving, as well as host and home communities.

In order to better highlight the implications of this perspective, the International Organization for Migration (IOM) has attempted to look at mobility and disaster risk through the livelihood lens, interpreting migration, evacuation, displacement and re-

turn as livelihood protection and diversification options (IOM, 2013a). Mobility is understood as one of the strategies households can activate to pursue their short and long-term well-being in a context of more or less limited choices, and ultimately as one of the determinants of vulnerability and resilience outcomes (Wisner et al., 2004; McDowell and de Haan, 1997, Hugo, 1996). Lack of mobility (i.e. the incapacity to move before, during and after disasters) is ipso facto a major factor of vulnerability as demonstrated by the disproportionate impact of natural hazards on trapped populations (for a discussion of the category: Foresight, 2011, for the case of Hurricane Katrina: Landry et al. 2007).

With the present paper, IOM would like to provide an overview of the nexus between mobility and the environmental, social, economic and political dimensions that define the resilience of individuals and communities. The text considers first mobility as an outcome of the interplay between environmental events and processes and historically determined human societies, and then tries to break down some of mobility's positive and negative effects on social and natural environments. The paper considers separately the effects of mobility on those moving and on communities and societies of origin and of destination. It should also be highlighted how none of these entity should not be understood as homogeneous. The evidence clearly shows that mobility has different effects on different contexts, and within given contexts on different groups and individuals, largely depending on prevailing social institutions and relations. Disaster risk reduction, resilience and vulnerability represent useful conceptual tools to interpret such relations, and how they influence mobility and its outcomes.

IOM believes that the dynamics highlighted in this paper will be central to development efforts, and in particular to DRR policy and practice, over the next decades, which will see an increasingly interconnected and mobile global society. Mobility is and will be a fundamental force in shaping vulnerability and resilience. Its integral role in global development shall not be overlooked in the implementation of the post-2015 framework on Disaster Risk Reduction.



ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINANTS OF MOBILITY PATTERNS

RISK AS A DRIVER OF MOBILITY

Environmental shocks, stresses and change influence mobility patterns by affecting key components of human well-being. People's decisions to move are complex and multicausal and are based on the consideration of economic, political and social elements (e.g. the availability of material and social resources and opportunities in the place of origin and of destination, the existence of alternatives to mobility) (Walsham, 2010), which are profoundly linked to the features of local ecosystems. Environmental processes, natural and man-made, can therefore have a distinct influence on mobility, both directly (e.g. loss of coastal land due to sea-level rise) and indirectly (e.g. decrease in agricultural production and water availability due to changes in weather patterns) (Foresight, 2011; Piguet et al., 2010; Tacoli, 2009; Renaud et al, 2007; EACH-FOR). The interaction of environmental processes with the social, political and economic structures of societies and communities translates into different individuals having differential access to opportunities and hazards, and stimulates different responses (including mobility decisions), as people pursue well-being in accordance with their aspirations and capabilities (Wisner et al, 2004).

Extreme natural events - especially destructive, rapid-onset ones such as cyclones, earthquakes and floods – can be easily identified as immediate tipping points for massive population movements (IPCC, 2012). Between 2008 2013, over 165 million people moved as a direct consequence of natural hazards, 42.3 million of them in 2010 alone (IDMC, 2013). Movements induced by such events tend to be temporary, as most people leave with the intention of coming back as soon as the conditions for return are in place. They also tend to happen on as-short-as possible, well-known routes (McLeman, 2011). As a consequence, an overwhelming proportion of the population movements induced by natural disasters take place within national borders, with international mobility remaining relatively rare, even in the wake of the most catastrophic events (Foresight, 2011; Hugo, 2008).

Nonetheless, the environment-risk-mobility linkage is not a simple matter of cause and effect. Environmental impacts are always mediated by social structures, and the mobility consequences they induce are profoundly heterogeneous (Tacoli, 2009). Environmental shocks and changes can act as obstacles to population movements, precluding access to assets and resources that are essential for mobility (Kniveton et al., 2008; Halliday, 2006; Findley, 1994).

Reducing the impacts of natural hazards is therefore one of the essential components of policies aimed to multiply the well-being options available to households, at a time to minimize their need to move, and to expand their capacity to move to pursue their well-being.

MOVING TO MANAGE ENVIRONMENTAL RISK

Throughout human history, mobility has been an integral part of human strategies to adapt to natural cycles and to manage fragile ecosystems. Pastoralists and nomadic communities have traditionally used transhumance to avoid overuse of scarce natural resources by migrating across rural landscapes, allowing for the recovery of the different ecosystems they exploit (Oteros-Rozas et al. 2012; Warner et al., 2012; UNEP, 2011; Wane, 2006; Morren, 1983). Similarly, traditional fishing and hunting systems are often based on seasonal migration to different grounds (Castillo, 2011). Rural-rural and rural-urban mobility are strategies that both reduce pressure on local natural resources and diversify income sources for households: their members "eat the dry season" through labor migration, which helps both reduce local resource consumption and diversify the household's income sources (IOM, 2012a; De Moor, 2011; Brown, 2007; Rain, 1999).



Mobility allows households to manage existing risk by diversifying their members' and their livelihoods' exposure to hazards (Stark and Bloom, 1985; Taylor, 1999). In the face of slow-onset events, it is often a complement to other in situ strategies that maximize a household's resilience (Mc Leman, 2011). Even in the case of sudden-onset, disruptive events, mobility helps protect the affected households' human capital: people displaced by disasters are actually engaging in adaptive behaviors that, while potentially risky, are appropriate to their predisaster exposure and vulnerability context (Schensul & Dodman, 2013). Other people move in the aftermath of disasters in order to gain access to additional resources that support reconstruction and recovery (Wisner, 2003).

Trapped populations, those with limited capacity to move before, during or after disasters, lack a key option for anticipating, coping with and recovering from disasters (Foresight, 2011). Lack of physical and financial resources to move, legal and cultural obstacles (including discriminations based on gender, ethnicity or mobility status), the lack of supporting trans-local networks and the absence of adequate infrastructure or information can force people in hazard-exposed locations or prevent them from moving or returning to areas where they would enjoy better access to opportunities and services, resulting in increased vulnerability (for the example of Katrina: Stephens et al. 2009; Landry et al. 2007; Elder et al. 2007).

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF HUMAN MOBILITY

MOVING AS A DETERMINANT OF HAZARD EXPOSURE

The very same natural features that make locations desirable for human settlement, and that therefore act as pull factors to population movements (such as fertility of floodplains and volcanic slopes, strategic or economic importance of hilltops, coastlines, river crossings and estuaries), often translate into exposure to hazardous natural events. As a consequence, a large share of the current demographic growth is taking place in hazard-prone areas (UN HABITAT, 2010, Lall and Deichmann, 2009). Population movements modify the distribution of population and capital, and redesign global and local risk landscapes.

Mobility can expose people to new hazards: it is for instance the case of population flows in many low-income countries, coming from marginal, fragile environments such as drylands and mountain areas, but directed towards cyclone and flood-prone regions, or in the case of North-America, where significant population movements are directed towards drought-prone areas (de Sherbinin et al, 2012).

While it is not the predominant factor of urban growth worldwide, human mobility can be a significant driver of urbanization (UNDESA, 2008), especially at the early stages of the urban transition process, in countries that often lack the institutional capacity to manage significant demographic pressure, thereby reinforcing another of the main global risk dynamics.

HUMAN MOBILITY AS A DRIVER OF NATURAL HAZARDS

Mobile people and their activities influence the ecosystems in the areas of destination. The sheer increase in the number of people living in a given place can drive up use of local and regional natural resources such as land, food, water and fuel (UNEP, 2011). Movements towards urban areas can pose additional challenges, as newcomers tend to take on a more resource-intensive lifestyle (Government of Malawi, 2010). In other instances, it is the traditional way of life of incoming individuals, who may be insufficiently aware of local environmental conditions, that is incompatible with the carrying capacity of receiving ecosystems (de Sherbinin et al, 2012).



Increased use of land and natural resources can lead to environmental degradation and create the conditions for increased frequency and intensity of hazards such as landslides, floods and fires (Peduzzi, 2010, UNISDR, 2009; Day et al., 2007). In addition, it can have consequences on the people's food and water security, and on their overall levels of well being (van Beukering et al, 2013; IUCN, 2008). Both hazard incidence and impacts on livelihood patterns can increase levels of risk, and further influence human mobility patterns.

Pressures on the receiving ecosystems are harder to manage when the population movement is massive and takes place in a sudden, unexpected manner, as is often the case in situation of displacement resulting from conflicts or disasters. Deforestation has been recorded as a consequence of the establishment of displacement sites, as incoming population seeks access local wood for fuel, construction material or income source (UNEP, 2002, UNEP, 2000, Black and Sessay, 1996). Displacement can also lead to decline of soil fertility and water avail-

ability, increased levels of pollution or biodiversity loss (Lassailly-Jacob et al., 2006; Jacobsen, 1997).

Outgoing population flows can also have negative environmental impacts in the areas of origin, particularly in traditional landscapes shaped by long-standing human interactions with the natural components of the ecosystems. As land is progressively abandoned, available human capital decreases, which hinders the maintenance of the elements that contribute to preserving and improving the stability and productivity of natural landscapes (e.g. terraces, water catchment and irrigation systems). As a consequence, the ecosystem undergoes a degradation process that can lead, in particular in dry and mountainous areas, to biodiversity loss and proliferation of invasive species, increased incidence of landslides, floods, fires, avalanches, soil erosion and desertification, and ultimately to reduced food, water and livelihood security (GFMC, 2010; Rey Benayas et al., 2007; FAO, 2007; Raj Khanal and Watanabe, 2006).



MOBILE POPULATIONS EFFECTS OF MOBILITY ON RISK & RESILIENCE

INCREASING THE WELL-BEING OF MOBILE POPULATION

People move for the prospects of a safer, better life, and for most mobile people movement actually results in overwhelmingly positive outcomes. The majority of mobile people benefits from mobility through increased access to improved services, food security and opportunities (UNDP, 2009; Dayal & Karan, 2003). Mobility can also open up opportunities for the multiplication of one's skill and human capital in an new professional and social context (De Moor, 2011), and can allow individuals to challenge traditional social roles and constraints, which can empower marginalized individuals and help tackle one of the structural drivers of vulnerability (de Haas, 2008b). Mobile people tend to have higher incomes than those who stay behind, regardless of the kind of movement they engage in (internal or international) and of their level of education (UNDP, 2009). Even in situations of forced migration, moving can result into better access to assets and opportunities, in particular for the poorer segment of the population (Fiala, 2009; Ssewanyana et al, 2007).

For those moving, access to health care, infrastructures and information tends to be better in the place of destination than in the place of origin. Households whose members have moved (both within and across national borders) are on average smaller and healthier than the average of the areas of origin (UNDP, 2009). Moving also opens up a diversity of educational opportunities, a fact that is driving a steep increase in the number of international students worldwide (UNESCO). Educational benefits are also extremely significant for families moving from the countryside to the city (Hashim, 2006).

Enjoying higher income level and better access to essential services, mobile people consistently report higher rates of happiness and satisfaction in their destinations than in their place of origin, despite the costs and the adjustments linked with moving (Bartram, 2012; UNDP, 2009), and despite evidence that, prior to their depart, people wanting to move tend to be less satisfied than people willing to stay (Graham & Markowitz, 2011). In areas of destination, much of the adjustment process often happens through associations and other groups, which migrants are more likely to join than local residents (UNDP, 2009).

While influenced by their living conditions in their community of destination, the well being of mobile people is also rooted in continued exchange with the community and household members in the areas of origin. People at home can take care of dependents left behind, manage investments and housing construction projects for the distant members of the household, deal with bureaucracy or send local goods that support consumption and increase food security (Long, 2008; Mazzuccato, 2008).

LIMITED ACCESS TO SERVICES AND OPPORTUNITIES

However, movement does not automatically yield good results, and its positive and negative outcomes are not distributed equally. Origin and destination of the people matter in determining the capacity and willingness of markets and service providers in receiving communities to integrate newcomers, as well as the barriers mobile people will encounter (IOM, 2013b; de Haas, 2008). Cultural, economic and political obstacles can reduce the incoming population's access to income opportunities and essential services, exposing people to new hazards and undermining their resilience.

Most of the people on the move at the global level are low skilled (Dumont et al. 2010) and increasingly arrive in their destinations without jobs (OECD, 2007). They undergo frequent de-skilling (Iredale, 2001), and are more likely to be underemployed and unemployed compared to local-born, as well as less satisfied of their professional position (IOM, 2013b). They also have to face cultural and institu-

tional segmentations of local labor markets, which often result in discriminations, informality, and lack of security and exploitation (UNDP, 2009). As a consequence, their financial situation is on average worse than that of the local-born, and they are less able to secure sufficient food and to meet other basic needs (IOM, 2013b). They also encounter more obstacles in accessing social security systems (UNDP, 2009), as well as in transferring the contributions they have made to insurance schemes in their host countries upon return (IOM, 2012).

Migrant students fare worse than natives in terms of enrolment by type of school, school attendance and dropout, level of achievement and diploma attained (Grayson, 2009; NESSE, 2008). Access to education is especially difficult for undocumented and discriminated children (UNDP, 2009), as well as for people forced to move in the context of crisis situations, due to untrained teachers, cultural and language barriers and limited funds for adapting receiving school systems to their specific needs and capacities (BHER, 2011).

Mobile people can also have limited access to health services, particularly if they do not possess formal documentation (IOM, 2013b; Ku and Jewers, 2013; Ku, 2006). Health conditions do not necessarily improve for the long-stayers, who may suffer the consequences of marginalization through increased exposure to environmental hazards, risky behaviors, poor nutritional status, anxiety and depression (Finch & Vega, 2003; Harris, 1999). In the case of massive population movements, limited access to water, sanitation and health services, poor nutritional status and excessive crowding can create the conditions for the spreading of waterrelated, vector borne and communicable diseases (Watson et al. 2007). Mobility, in particular following traumatic events, also has severe mental health consequences (Murray et al, 2008; Adams et al., 2010).



LIMITED ACCESS TO SAFE SHELTER

Mobile people are also likely to encounter significant challenges in achieving satisfactory standards of living in communities of destination, and are consistently less able than locals to access adequate housing (IOM, 2013b). Lack better shelter opportunities in well-serviced areas results into the concentration of people in unsafe structures in marginal, hazardous locations. This is in particular an issue in low-income urban areas, where the rapid growth of urban population, partly fuelled by migration from rural areas, has overwhelmed local institutional capacity to provide formal shelters, leading a significant proportion of low-income households to acquire land in informal or irregular ways in risky settings (UNISDR, 2013b; de Sherbinin et al, 2007).

Access to safe shelter is especially challenging in crisis situations. Lack of planning can result in the construction of unsafe temporary shelters (CNN, 2013; Los Angeles Times, 2008) or in displacement sites being located in hazardous locations (TBC, 2011). Massive population inflow linked with humanitarian crises can also disrupt the host communities' housing market, by driving up rental rates and reducing the availability of affordable options, leading to overcrowding and on occasion to the eviction of original residents (MercyCorps, 2012).

SOCIAL MARGINALIZATION AND CONFLICT

National and regional social policies often discriminate mobile people based on their nationality and on their socio-economic background, favoring the individuals who are expected to be more able to integrate in the host community (Manole & Schiff, 2004). Mobile people, even those who integrate successfully in their context of destination, tend to face a variety of discrimination patterns, when interacting with host institutions and populations, which reduce their opportunities and well-being (Campbell, 2006; Berry, 1997; Aycan and Berry, 1996). This often results in loss of social status and reduced personal and physical security (IOM, 2013b).

The perception of mobility as a threat to social co-

hesion is widespread. Mobile populations often have lower levels of in situ social networks and resources compared to their receiving community (Grim-Feinberg, 2007), and their arrival can have a negative impact on the community's levels of collaboration and cohesion (Freire & Xiaoye, 2013). In extreme cases, lack of integration of mobile population, often in combination with increased social tensions and specific trigger events, can translate into violations of human rights, xenophobic stances and scapegoating of immigrants (both international and internal), and violence and conflict among and within communities (Kokkali, 2011; UNEP, 2011; Koser, 2010; Gagnon et al., 2011; Hammer, 2006; Campbell, 2006).

INSUFFICIENT INCLUSION IN DRM SYSTEMS

As a consequence of the above-mentioned factors, non-native individuals also have specific needs and vulnerabilities before, during and after disasters that are not always adequately taken into account by crisis management institutions. Language and cultural barriers, reduced knowledge of hazard conditions and of evacuation and emergency procedures, and lack of networks and financial means can result in impediments in accessing preparedness, assistance and protection systems (IOM, 2012).

Legal barriers and discriminations can further reduce their access to life-saving assistance, in particular in the case of stateless, undocumented and exploited migrants (Phillips, 1993; Bolin & Stanford, 1998). Lack of registration can result in migrants, displaced persons and refugees being unaccounted for by assistance institutions in home and host countries. Lack of legal entitlements to stay, to move freely or to return can also have negative impacts on their capacity to evacuate from the hazard-affected area (IOM, 2012; Koike, 2012).

Identifying and addressing the needs of people moving in the aftermath of natural disasters can pose particular challenges, and undermine institutional capacities to provide assistance and support (Rodriguez et al., 2006). International mobility poses additional political and operational challenges to national disaster management and relief authorities, requiring them to prepare and assist their nationals involved in crises while overseas (IOM, 2012).

HOME COMMUNITIES EFFECTS OF MOBILITY ON RISK & RESILIENCE

REMITTANCES SUPPORTING THE HOUSEHOLDS' WELL-BEING

Mobile people contribute to the well being of their households and communities of origin the transfer of material and immaterial resources (i.e. financial and social remittances). The targeted inflow of resources has the potential to support the recipients' consumption levels, reducing short-term insecurities and freeing up resources for activities that build human capital. Households receiving remittances fare better than comparable, non-remittances receiving ones in all health and education indicators in a number of different geographical contexts (UNDP, 2009; Anyanwu and Erhijakpor, 2009, Valero-Gil, 2008; Hildebrandt and McKenzie, 2009; de Janvry et al., 2005; Adams, 2005).

Households receiving remittances have higher overall incomes, consumption levels and food security, and lower incidence of extreme poverty (Ratha, 2013, Anyanwu and Erhijakpor, 2010, San Vincente Portes, 2009). They have higher propensity to save, which means they have a buffer to cope with unexpected events, including with the impacts of natural hazards (Mohapatra et al, 2009; Yang & Choi, 2007). In addition, they have more access to credit and more resources to invest in productive assets, which can strengthen their livelihoods, in better housing, which reduces their vulnerability to health hazards and to extreme natural events, and improved access to information and communication networks, which plays an essential role in supporting economic activities, reproducing social capital and accessing and disseminating early warning and emergency information before and during disasters (Mohapatra et al, 2009, de Haas, 2006, Woodruff & Zenteno, 2001). Remittances tend to rise following crises and shocks, helping to smoothen consumption levels of receiving households and supporting investments for reconstruction and recovery (Attzs, 2008; Fagen, 2006).

REMITTANCES SUPPORTING THE HOME ECONOMY

The total amount of remittances sent back from mobile populations in 2012 accounted for a total of USD 410 billion (World Bank, 2013b). Developing countries received three times more resources through remittances than ODA (Ratha, 2013), with low-income countries receiving less than middle-income ones (Page & Plaza, 2005). As remittance flows are expected to further expand over the next years, much attention has been dedicated to their potential for poverty reduction: a research in Nepal has shown that their inflow might have contributed to a reduction of as much as 5% in the amount of poor residents over about a decade (World Bank, 2006).

Remittances have limited potential to support system-wide development: they are neither sufficient in amount, nor adequately targeted, to counter the root causes of vulnerability (de Haas, 2008a). The inflow of resources, however, can significantly increase the income levels of poor recipients (Jongwanich, 2007), and can have a multiplier effects on the economy of the receiving communities, stimulating local labor markets and producing spill-over benefits to non-receiving households (Glystos, 1993). The translocal bonds established through the distant community members can also stimulate or strengthen commercial relations between their communities of origin and of destination (Lucas, 2005).

Remittances are a more resilient source of financing than any other economic flow: while FDI and ODA were reduced by economic and political instability over the last years, flows of remittances have mostly kept growing (Ratha, 2013). The financial resources of the diasporas have on occasions been leveraged to issue specific bonds in the country of origin, and flows of remittances have been indicated as a collateral by States requesting credit from international financial institutions (World Bank, 2013b; Akkoyunlu & Stern, 2012).

SOCIAL & CULTURAL PROGRESS LINKED WITH MOBILITY

The participation in translocal communities by mobile people and their sending households has the potential to bring about profound social change in the home community. Through their exposure to different living conditions, mobile people can increase their human capital and become development agents for their families and home communities. Brain circulation helps transfer skills and technologies that reduce risk, such as sustainable resource use, health improvement practices, entrepreneurship and hazard prevention or mitigation (Rinke, T., 2012; UNDP, 2009; de Haas, 2006). The prospect of increased opportunities linked with mobility has also the potential to stimulate interest in higher education (World Bank, 2006b; Panescu, 2004).

Mobile people, in particular through hometown and migrants associations, have a significant role in supporting community development and infrastructural projects as well as initiatives to conserve local traditions and culture (Delgado Wise & Marquez Covarrubias, 2008; Asis, 2008). They also have the potential to influence institutions back home, stimulating broader political change (ibid.).

Mobility can also be a factor in challenging traditional gender, class and ethnic roles in sending communities, leading formerly subaltern groups

to escape from constraints embedded in their traditional socio-cultural context (de Haas, 2008). Male stayers are more likely to engage in caretaking activities and female stayers to take a more prominent role in household decision-making and economic management (King & Vullnetari, 2006; Deshingkar & Grimm, 2005). In addition, mobility often leads to the reconfiguration of traditional family patterns, which can, on occasions, lead to the strengthening of social capital through the enlargement of social networks (Asis, 2008).

INCREASING THE HOUSEHOLDS' VULNERABILITY

With the exception of North-North migration corridors, men are more likely to migrate than women (IOM, 2013b). Mobile people have a stronger overall presence in the age groups between 25 and 49 compared to the host populations. They also tend to be healthier than average individuals, compared both to their host and home communities – the so-called "healthy migrant effect" (Razum et al, 2000).

These factors result in a disproportionate loss of healthy, productive individuals for the communities of origin and in the consequent growth of dependency rate and of the number of split households and of single-parent (and in particular women-headed) families (Ratha et al. 2010). Mobility can reduce the effectiveness of kin and com-



munity-level care giving and the strength of social networks, in the most extreme cases resulting into settlements overwhelmingly populated by old and infirm people (Bernhard et al. 2009; King & Vullnetari, 2006). Separation from the parents can lead to lower educational attainments and involvement in risky behaviors by children left behind (Asis, 2008; d'Emilio et al., 2007).

Outward mobility can negatively impact the psychological well being and personal security of the individuals left behind (Dreby, 2010; Borraz et al., 2007), as well as their livelihood security, in particular in the short term (Edward & Scott, 2003). Traditional livelihood patterns can be re-dimensioned, modified or disrupted as the active population leaves, in particular in the case of massive population outflows (Bukuluki et al. 2008). As a consequence, households might find themselves overly dependent on the transfer of resources from distant breadwinners, running the risk of suffering disproportionate negative consequence as remote hazards affect their distant members (IOM, 2012).

Remittances can stimulate change in the lifestyle of the individuals left behind in ways that reduce their self-reliance, stimulating voluptuary consumptions to an unsustainable level (Zachariah & Rajan, 2004) or reducing their incentives to work or to study (Levitt, 1996). The investment of remittances can also drive the distribution of population and capital, and therefore hazard exposure: remittances have been observed to lead to environmental degradation by fuelling rapid urbanization processes in environmentally fragile areas within and around small and medium-sized urban areas in low and middle-income countries (Klaufus, 2010).

INCREASING THE FRAGILITY OF HOME SOCIETIES

Developing countries are increasingly providing the workforce to satisfy the advanced economies' demand for both cheap and skilled labor. Skilled workers are more likely to move across borders (Skeldon, 2008): up to 75% of all skilled workers native to countries such as Fiji, Guyana, Haiti and Jamaica have moved to OECD countries (Dumont & Lemaitre, 2004). In the short-term, this leads to a reduction in the average level of education and expertise in the home communities (Docquier & Marfoulk, 2005), which can affect the quality and access to

essential services, such as health and education, of the population staying behind (Docquier et al, 2010, Marchal & Kegels, 2003).

The massive loss of population can also lead to reduced productivity of labor and lower returns of public education investments, negatively affecting the community's overall prospects for economic growth. Population movements can also have direct and indirect impacts on the amount of available tax revenues, and therefore on the capacity of national and local institution (Farrant et al., 2006). In the most extreme cases, loss of population can undermine the self-reliance of whole societies, as in the case of Montserrat after the 1995 volcanic eruption (McLeman, 2011).

As mobility is a costly option, not available to every households, remittances, particularly from individuals living abroad, have the potential to increasing income inequalities between poorer and richer groups in the community of origin (Adams, 1991). The inflow of foreign currency through remittances can lead to currency devaluation and inflation, which becomes a particularly pressing issue to nonrecipients (Rathia, 2013, Narayan et al, 2011). In addition, the shift in economic balance linked with the inflow of remittances can potentially create resentments and tensions against the newly enriched households (Zachariah & Rajan, 2004). Similar patterns are observed at the international level, with middle-income countries having higher emigration rates and therefore receiving more remittances than low-income ones (Farrant et al., 2006).

HOST COMMUNITIES EFFECTS OF MOBILITY ON RISK & RESILIENCE

STIMULATING AND SUPPORTING THE HOST ECONOMY

Population inflows also have overall positive effects on the host communities. Mobile people, over-represented in the economically productive age groups, increase the available labour supply with very limited costs to receiving societies (Carter, 2008). Their arrival translates into increased demand for goods and services, which stimulates production and supports employment (Ortega & Peri, 2009).

As a consequence, incoming population flows mostly have positive, rapid effects on local employment rates and wages (Carter, 2008; Gott & Johnston, 2002), which also help maintain functioning fiscal systems as well as social insurance and caregiving arrangements - an effect that is especially important for countering increasing dependency rates in ageing, advanced economies. Mobility also leads to international and intra-national labor differentiation and specialization, and thereby to efficiency and economies of scale (Farrant et al., 2006). The presence of mobile individuals has also been related to increased translocal cultural and commercial exchange, and to increased capacity for political, technological and cultural innovation in receiving societies (Ratha et al. 2010).

Mobile people can integrate the receiving societies' skill gaps, completing the available human capital stocks and leading to increased efficiency of the local labor markets (Manole & Schiff, 2004). This can be particularly important in the aftermath of major crises, including disasters linked with natural hazards, when incoming workers can support relief, reconstruction and recovery efforts (Hugo, 2008).

PRESSURING LOCAL SERVICE PROVIDERS

Despite these positive impacts, unmanaged population inflows, in particular when sudden and unexpected, can strain the capacity of host institutions and markets to provide resources to all the segments of a give society. This can potentially translate into reduced access to income opportunities and basic services that are essential for the wellbeing and resilience of the local communities. By straining labor markets, health and education systems as well as water supply, sanitation and waste management infrastructures, the population inflow can increase the fiscal cost for service providers and reduce the quality of the services provided. Insufficient access to health care by the incoming population, in particular, has been identified as a serious public health issue, as it acts as a health risk multiplier for the whole community (Kullgren, 2003).

In addition, incoming people often compete with the weaker groups and individuals within the host community for income opportunities, shelter, access to health and education, which translates into disproportionately negative impacts on the well-being of (both newcomer and native) women, youth and unskilled workers (World Bank, 2013; MercyCorps, 2012; UNDP, 2009).

CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATIONS

Mobility is an integral part of the human development processes that determine hazard exposure, vulnerability and resilience patterns. Mobility decisions are rooted in the environmental and socioeconomic factors and processes that constrain and allow individual, households and communities to access opportunities and well being. It is these factors that largely determine the heterogeneous risk reduction and risk production outcomes of moving for different people and groups, including within the mobile groups and their communities of origin and of destination.

Human mobility is therefore both a product and a determinant of the socio-natural context people live in, and as such it is linked to all the other processes that shape disaster risk at the global and at the local level, including environmental change, urbanization, economic growth and demographic evolutions. It should therefore be integrated in the holistic perspective that characterizes the work on Disaster Risk Reduction and resilience, and in particular in the actions targeted at reducing the

root causes of risk, but should also be analyzed and understood as a distinct process, which poses specific challenges and offers specific opportunities to home and host communities.

While highlighting the potential of mobility to improve resilience, it should also be noted that mobile people and their communities of origin and of destination have only limited capacity to influence the structural features of their socio-natural context. Actions aimed at maximizing the positive impacts of mobility on lives and livelihoods can only be successful if the context-specific conditions of vulnerability are addressed.

Efforts aimed to reduce disaster risk and promote well being in the implementation of the post-2015 global development agenda should adequately account for the benefits and costs linked with human mobility, and strive to creating the conditions for maximizing the long-term resilience building effect of moving on mobile people and their host and home communities.



REFERENCES

Adams, V., van Hattum, T. and English, D. (2010). "Chronic disaster syndrome: Displacement, disaster capitalism, and the eviction of the poor from New Orleans". American Ethnology, 36(4): 615-636

Adams. R.A.Jr. (1991). The effect of international remittances on poverty, inequality and development in rural Egypt. Research report 86. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research institute

Adams. R.A.Jr. (2005). Remittances, household expenditure and investment in Guatemala. Working paper 3532, Washington D.C.: the World Bank

Akkoyunlu, S. and Stern, M. (2012). An empirical analysis of diaspora bonds. Research paper 2012/3. Geneva: Graduate Institute

Anyanwu, J.C. and Erhijakpor, A.E.O. (2009). "Health expenditures and health outcomes in Africa". African Development Review, 21(2): 400–433

Anyanwu, J.C. and Erhijakpor, A.E.O. (2010). "Do international remittances affect poverty in Africa?". African Development Review, 22(1): 51-91

Asis, M.M.B. (2008). "How international migration can support development: A challenge for the Philippines". Castles, S. and Delgado-Wise, R. (eds). Migration and development: Perspectives from the South. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Attzs, M. (2008). Natural disasters and remittances: Exploring linkages between poverty, gender and disaster vulnerability in Caribbean SIDS. Research Paper 2008/61. Bonn: United Nations University

Aycan, Z. and Berry, J.W. (1996). "Impact of employment-related experiences on immigrants' well-being and adaptation to Canada". Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 28: 240-251.

Bartram, D. (2012). Happiness and 'economic migration': A comparison of Eastern European migrants and stayers. Social Science Research Network E-library. Available from http://ssrn.com/abstract=2225679.

Bernhard, J.K., Landolt, P. and Goldring, L. (2009). "Transnationalizing families: Canadian immigration policy and the spatial fragmentation of care-giving among Latin American newcomers". International Migration 47(2): 3-31

Berry, J.W. (1997), "Immigration, acculturation and adaptation". Applied psychology: an international review, 46(1), 5-34 BHER (2011). Dadaab camps. Borderless Higher Education for Refugees: http://crs.yorku.ca/bher-dadaab-about#_ftn1

Black, R., Adger, W.N., Arnell, N.W., Dercon, S., Geddes, A. and Thomas, D.S.G. (2011). "The effect of environmental change on human migration". Global environmental Change, 21(S1): S3-S11

Black, R., Sessay, M.F. (1997). "Refugees, land cover and environmental change in the Senegal River Valley". GeoJournal,

41(1): 55-67

Bolin, R. and Stanford, L. (1998) "The Northridge earthquake: Community-based approaches to unmet recovery needs". Disasters, 22(1): 21-38

Borraz, F. et al. (2007). And what about the family back home? International migration and happiness. Paper presented to the Public Policy Development Office Conference, Bangkok.

Brown, O. (2007). Eating the dry season: Labour mobility as a coping strategy for climate change. IISD Commentary. Winnipeg: International Institute for Sustainable Development

Bukuluki, P., Mugumya, F., Neema, S. and Ochen, E.A. (2008). "Gender dimensions, food security, and HIV and AIDS in IDP camps in Uganda: Implications for HIV-responsive policy and programming". International Food Policy Research Institute

Campbell, L, E. (2006). "Urban refugees in Nairobi: problems of protection, mechanisms for survival and possibilities for integration" Journal of Refugee Studies, 19: 396-413

Carter, S.B. (2008). "Labor market flooding? Migrant destination and wage change during America's age of mass migration". DeWind J. and Holdaway J. (eds.). Migration and development across borders: Research and policy perspectives on internal and international migration. Geneva and New York: International Organization for Migration and Social Science Research Council

Castillo, R.C.A. (2011). When fishing is no longer viable: Environmental change, unfair market relations, and livelihood in a small fishing community in the Philippines. COMCAD Working Paper 105

CNN (23 March 2013). Blaze kills dozen at refugee camp in Thailand. Available at: www.cnn.com/2013/03/23/world/asia/thailand-refugees-fire/

Crimella, C. and Dagnan, C.S. (2012). "The 11 March triple disaster in Japan". Gemenne, F., Bruecker, P. and Ionesco, D. (eds.). State of environmental migration 2011. Paris and Geneva: Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations and International Organization for Migration d'Emilio A.L., Cordero, B., Bainvel, B., Skoog, C., Comini, D., Gough, J., Dias, M., Saab, R. and Kilbane, T. (2007). The impact of international migration: Children left behind in selected counrties of Latin America and the Caribbean. New York: United Nations Children's Fund

Day, J.W.Jr., Boesch, D.F., Clairain E.J., Kemp, P., Laska, S.B., Mitsch, W.J., Orth, K., Mashriqui, H., Reed, D.J., Shabman, L., Simenstad, C.A., Streever, B.J., Twilley, R.R, Watson, C.C., Wells, J.T. and Whigham, D.F. (2007). "Restoration of the Mississippi delta: lessons from hurricanes Katrina and Rita". Science 315 (5819): 1679-1684.

Dayal, H. and Karan, A.K. (2003). Labour migration from Jharkhand, New Dehli: Institute for Human Development de Haas, H. (2006). "Migration, remittances and regional development in Southern Morocco". Geoforum, 37: 565-580

de Haas, H. (2008a). Migation and development: A theoretical perspective. Oxford: International Migration Institute

de Haas, H. (2008b). "North African migration systems: Evolution, transformations, and development linkages". Castles, S. and Delgado-Wise, R. (eds). Migration and development: Perspectives from the South. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

de Janvry, A., Sadoulet, E. and Zhu, N. (2005). The role of non-farm incomes in reducing rural poverty and inequality in China. CUDARE Working Paper Series Berkley: University of California at Berkeley

De Moor, N. (2011). Labour migration for vulnerable communities: a strategy to adapt to a changing environment. COMCAD Working Paper 101

de Sherbinin A., Schiller A. and Pulsipher A. (2007). "The vulnerability of global cities to climate hazards". Environment and Urbanization 19: 39-64

de Sherbinin, A., Levy, M., Adamo, S., MacManus, K., Yetman, G., Mara, V., Razafindrazay, L., Goodrich, B., Srebotnjak, T., Aichele, C. and Pistolesi, L. (2012). "Migration and risk: net migration in marginal ecosystems and hazardous areas". Environmental Research Letters, 7: 1-13

Delgado Wise, R. and Marquez Covarrubias, H. (2008). The Mexico-United States migratory system: Dilemmas of regional intergation, development, and emigration. Castles, S. and Delgado-Wise, R. (eds). Migration and development: Perspectives from the South. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Deshingkar, P. and Grimm, S. (2005). International migration and development: A global perspective. Migration research series 19. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Docquier, F. and Marfoulk (2005). Brain drain in developing regions. IZA Discussion Paper Series. Bonn: Institute for the Study of Labor

Docquier, F., Marchiori, L., and Shen, I.L. (2010). Brain drain in globalization: A general equilibrium analysis of the sending countries' perspective. Discussion Paper 7682, CEPR

Dreby, J. (2010). Divided by borders: Mexican migrants and their children. Berkley: University of California Press

Dumont, J.C. and Lemaitre, G. (2004). Counting immigrants and expatriates: A new perspective". Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

Dumont, J.C., Spielvogel, G. and Widmaier, S. (2010). International migrants in developed, merging and developing countries: An extended profile. OECD Social Employment and Migration Working Papers, No114. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

EACH-FOR (Environmental Change and Forced Migration Scenarios), research project financed by the European Commission in the 6th Framework Programme (FP6), (2007- 2009), (contract No.: 044468) available at: http://www.each-for.eu/

Edward, T.J. and Scott, R. (2003). "Migration and Incomes in Source Communities: A New Economics of Migration Perspective from China", Economic Development and Cultural Change 52: 75–101.

Elder, K., Xirasagar, S., Miller, N., Bowen, S.A., Glover, S. and Piper, C. (2007). "African Americans' decisions not to evacuate New Orleans before Hurricane Katrina: a qualitative study". American Journal of Public Health, 97(S1): S124-S129.

Fagen, P. (2006). Remittances in crises: A Haiti case study. HPG Background paper, London: Overseas Development Institute

FAO (2007). Why invest in watershed management?. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization

Farrant, M., MacDonald, A. and Sriskandarajah, D. (2006). Migration and development: Opportunities and challenges for policymakers. Migration Research Series 22. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Fiala, N. (2009). The consequences of forced displacement in Northern Uganda. HiCN Working Paper No. 65.

Finch B.K. and Vega W.A. (2003). "Acculturation stress, social support, and self-rated health among Latinos in California". Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 5(3): 109-117

Findley, S.E. (1994). Does drought increase migration? A study of migration from rural

Mali during the 1983-1985 drought. International Migration Review, 28: 539-553.

Foresight: Migration and Global Environmental Change (2011). Final Project Report. London: The Government Office for Science

Freire, T. and Xiaoye, L. (2013). How immigration reduced social capital in the US: 2005-2011. Social Science Research Network working paper, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2222650

Gagnon, J., Khoudour-Castéras, D. and Lefebvre, V. (2011). The Southward shift in international migration: Social challenges and policy implications. Preliminary version. Paris: OECD Development Centre

GFMC (2010). The western Russian wildfires of 2010. Background paper prepared for the 2011 Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction

Glystos, N. (1993). "Measuring the Income Effects of Migrant Remittances: A Methodological Approach Applied to Greece". Economic Development and Cultural Change 42: 131–160.

Gott & Johnston (2002). The migrant population in the UK: Fiscal effects. Occasional Paper 77. London: Research, Development and Statistics Directorate

Government of Malawi (2010). Malawi state of environment and outlook: Environment for sustainable economic growth. Lilongwe: Government of Malawi

Graham, C. and Markowitz, J. (2011) "Aspirations and happiness of potential Latin American immigrants". Journal of Social Research and Policy, 2(2): 9–25.

Grayson, J.P. (2009). "Language background, ethno-racial origin, and academic achievement of students at a Canadian university". International Migration 47(2): 33-67

Grim-Feinberg, K. (2007). "Strengthening social capital through bilingual competence in a transnational migrant community: Mexicans in upstate New York". International Migration, 45(1) - 177-206

Halliday, T.J. (2006) "Migration, risk, and liquidity constraints in El Salvador", Economic Development and Cultural Change, 54(4): 893-925

Hammer, J. (2006). Yokohama burning. Detroit: Free Press

Happel, S.K. and Hogan, T.D. (2002). Counting snowbirds: The importance of and the problems with estimating seasonal populations. Population Research and Policy Review, 221(3): 227-240

Harris. K.M. (1999). "The health status and risk behaviors of

adolescents in immigrant families". Hernandez D.J. (ed.). Children of immigrants. Washington, D.C.: National Academies

Hashim, I. M. (2006). The Positives and Negatives of Children's Independent Migration: Assessing the Evidence and the Debates. Working Paper T16. Brighton: Development Research Centre on Migration, Globalisation and Poverty

Hildebrandt, N. and McKenzie, D. (2005). The effects of migration on child health in Mexico, Working paper 3573. Washington D.C.: the World Bank

Hugo, G. (1996). "Environmental concerns and international migration". International Migration Review, 30(1): 105-131

Hugo, G. (2008). Migration, development and environment. Migration Research Series 35. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Huntington, S. (2004). "The Hispanic challenge"

IDMC (2013). Global estimates 2012: people displaced by disasters. Geneva: Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre

IOM (2012). Protecting migrants during times of crisis: immediate responses and sustainable strategies. International Dialogue on Migration, No 21. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

IOM (2012a). Environmental degradation, migration, internal displacement, and rural vulnerabilities in Tajikistan. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

IOM (2013a). Compendium of activities in Disaster Risk Reduction and resilience. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

IOM (2013b). World migration report 2013: Migrant well-being and development. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

IPCC (2012). Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Iredale, R. 2001. "The Migration of Professionals: Theories and Typologies." International Migration 39 (5, Special Issue 1): 7-26.

Jacobsen, K. (1997). "Refugees' environmental impact: The effect of patterns of settlement", Journal of Refugee Studies, 10(1): 19-36

Jongwanich, J. (2007). Workers' remittances, economic growth and poverty in developing Asia and the Pacific Countries. Working Paper 1997/1. United Nations Economic and Social Commission fo Asia and the Pacific

King, R. and Vullnetari, J. (2006), "Orphan pensioners and migrating grandparents: the impact of mass migration on older people in rural Albania". Ageing and Society, 26(5): 783-816

Klaufus, C. (2010). "Watching the city grow: Remittances and sprawl in intermediate Central American cities". Environment and Urbanization 22(1): 125-137.

Kniveton, D., Schmidt-Verkerk, K., Smith, C. and Black, R. (2008). Climate change and migration: improving methodologies to estimate flows. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Kniveton, D., Smith, C.. Black, R. and Schmidt-Verkerk, K. (2009). "Challenges and approaches to measuring the migration-environment nexus". Laczko, F. and Aghazarm, C. (eds.) Migration, environment and climate change: Assessing the evidence. Geneva: International Organization for Migration

Koike, K. (2011). "Forgotten and unattended: refugees in

post-earthquake Japan". Forced Migration Review, 38: 46-47

Kokkali, I., (2011). From scapegoats to 'good' immigrants? Albanians' supposedly 'successful'integration to Greece. Quaderni del Circolo Rosselli, 3/2011. Firenze: Alinea Editrice

Koser, K. (2010). "Dimensions and dynamics of irregular migration". Population, Space and Place, 16(3): 181-193

Ku, L. (2006). Why immigrants lack adequate access to health care and health insurance. Washington, D.C.: Migration Policy Institute

Ku, L. and Jewers, M. (2013). Health care for immigrants: current policies and issues. Washington, D.C.: Migration Policy Institute

Kullgren, T.J. (2003) "Restrictions on undocumented immigrants' access to health services: The public health implications of welfare reform". American Journal of Public Health, 93(10): 1630-1633

Lall, S.V. and Deichmann, U.(2009) Density and disasters: Economics of urban hazard risk. Policy research working paper no. 5161. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank

Landry, C.E., Bin, O., Hindsley, P. Whitehead, J.C. and Wilson, K. (2007). "Going home: Evacuation-migration decisions of Hurricane Katrina survivors". Southern Economic Journal, 74(2), 326-343

Lassailly-Jacob, V. (1992). "Environmental refugees". Refuge, 12(1): 1-4

Lassailly-Jacob, V., Boyer, F. and Brachet, J. (2006). South-South migration: Example of Sub-Saharan Africa. Strasbourg: European Parliament

Levitt, P. (1996). Social remittances: A conceptual tool for understanding migration and development. Working paper 96/04. Harvard

Long, N. (2008). "Translocal livelihoods, networks of family and community and remittances in Central Peru". DeWind J. and Holdaway J. (eds.). Migration and development across borders: Research and policy perspectives on internal and international migration". Geneva and New York: International Organization for Migration and Social Science Research Council

Los Angeles Times (15 February 2008). FEMA trailers toxic, tests show. Available at: http://articles.latimes.com/2008/feb/15/nation/na-trailers15

Lucas, R. (2005). International migration regimes and economic development. Report for the Expert Group on Development Issues in the Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs. Available at: http://www.egdi.gov.se/migraiton.htm

Manole, V. and Schiff, M. (2004). Migration and diversity: Human versus social capital. IZA Discussion Paper No. 1279. Bonn: Institute for the Study of Labor

Marchal, B. and Kegels, G. (2003). "Health workforce imbalances in times of globalization: brain drain or professional mobility?" International Journal of Health Planning and Management, 18(S1): S89-101.

Mazzuccato, V. (2008). "Simultaneity and network in transational migration: lessons learned from a Simoultaneous Matched-Sample Methodology". DeWind J. and Holdaway J. (eds.). Migration and development across borders: Research and policy perspectives on internal and international migration". Geneva and New York: International Organization for Migration and Social Science Research Council

McDowell, C. and de Haan, A. (1997). Migration and sustain-

able livelihoods: A critical review of the literature. IDS working paper no. 65. Brighton: Institute of Development Studies

McGranahan, G., Balk, D., Martine, G. and Tacoli C. (2013). "Fair and effective responses to urbanization and climate change: Tapping synergies and avoiding exclusionary policies". Martine, G. and Schensul D. (eds.) The demography of adaptation to climate change. New York, London and Mexico City: United Nations Population Fund, International Institute for Environment and Development and El Colegio de México

McLeman, R.A. (2011). "Settlement abandonment in the context of global environmental change". Global environmental change, 21(S1): \$108-\$120

MercyCorps (2012). Analysis of host community-refugee tensions in Mafraq, Jordan. Available at: http://data.unhcr.org/syrianrefugees/download.php?id=2958 (2012).

Mohapatra, S.; Joseph, G. and Ratha, D. (2009). Remittances and natural disasters: Ex-post response and contribution to ex-ante preparedness. Washington, D.C.: the World Bank

Morren, G.E.B. (1983). "The Bushmen and the British: Problems of the identification of drought and responses to drought". Hewitt, K. (ed) Interpretations of Calamity. Boston: Allen and Unwin

Morrissey, J. (2009). Environmental change and forced migration: A state of the art review. Oxford: Refugee Studies Centre

Morrissey, J. (2012). "Rethinking the 'debate on environmental refugees': from 'maximilists and minimalists' to 'proponents and critics'". Journal of Political Ecology, 19: 36-49

Murray, K., Davidson, G. and Schweitzer, R. (2008). Psychological wellbeing of refugees resettling in Australia. Melbourne: The Australian Psychological Society

Murray, R. and Petrin Williamson, S. (2011). Migration as a tool for disaster recovery: A case study on U.S. policy options for post-earthquake Haiti. Working Paper 255. Washington D.C.: Centre for Global Development

Narayan, P.K., Narayan, S. and Mishra, S. (2011) "Do Remittances Induce Inflation? Fresh Evidence from Developing Countries". Southern Economic Journal, 77(4): 914-933

NESSE (2008). Education and the integration of migrant children. Bruxelles: European Commission

OECD (2007). Jobs for immigrants: Labour market integration in Australia, Denmark, Germany and Sweden. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

Ortega, F. and Peri, G. (2009). The causes and effects of international labour mobility: Evidence from OECD countries 1980-2005. Human Development Report Research Paper 6. New York: United Nations Development Programme

Oteros-Rozas, E., Gonzales, J.A., Martin-Lopez, B., Lopez, C.A., and Montes, C. (2012). "Ecosystem services and social-ecological resilience in transhumance cultural landscapes: learning from the past, looking for a future". Plieninger, T. and Bieling, C. (eds). Resilience and the cultural landscape: Understanding and managing change in human-shaped environments. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Page, J. And Plaza, S. (2005). Migration and economic development: A review of global evidence. Paper for the Economic research consortium, Nairobi

Panescu, A. (2004). Brain drain and brain gain: A new perspective on highly skilled migration. Paper for the Seminar on Labor Migration in Central and Eastern Europe. Cluj: Public Policy Centre

Peduzzi, P. (2010) "Landslides and vegetation cover in the 2005 North Pakistan earthquake: a GIS and statistical quantitative approach". Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 10: 623-640

Piguet, E., Pecoud, A. and de Gouchteneire, P. (eds.) (2011). Migration and Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Rain, D. (1999). Eaters of the dry eason: Circular labor migration in the West African Sahel. Boulder: Westview Press

Raj Khanal, N., Watanabe, T. (2006). "Abandonment of agricultural land and its consequences". Mountain Research and Development 26: 32–40

Ratha, D. (2013). The impact of remittances on economic growth and poverty reduction. Policy brief. Washington, D.C.: Migration Policy Institute

Ratha, D.; Mohapatra, S. and Scheja, E. (2010). Impact of migration on economic and social development: A review of evidence and emerging issues. Background paper tp the Global Forum on Migration and Development

Razum, O., Zeeb, H., Rohrmann, S. (2000). "The 'healthy migrant effect'-not merely a fallacy of inaccurate denominator figures". International Journal of Epidemiology 29: 191-192

Refugee Studies Centre (2011). Study on impacts and costs of forced displacement. Oxford: Refugee Studies Centre

Renaud, F., Bogardi J.J., Dun, O., Warner, K. (2007). Control, adapt or flee: How to face environmental migration. Bonn: United Nations University

Rey Benayas, J.M., Martins, A., Nicolau, J.M. and Schulz, J.J. (2007) "Abandonment of agricultural land: An overview of drivers and consequences". CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources 57

Rinke, T. (2012). "Temporary and circular labor migration between Spain and Colombia". Gemenne, F., Bruecker, P. and Ionesco, D. (eds.). State of environmental migration 2011. Paris and Geneva: Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations and International Organization for Migration

Rodriguez, S.R., Tocco, J.S., Mallonee, S., Smithee, L., Cathey, T., and Bradley, K. (2006) "Rapid needs assessment of Hurricane Katrina evacuees". Prehospital and Disaster Medicine 21(6): 395-395

San Vincente Portes, L. (2009). "Remittances, poverty and inequality". Journal of Economic Development 34(1): 127-140

Sanderson, M.R. (2009). "Globalization and the environment: Implications for human migration". Human Ecology Review, 16: 93-102

Schensul, D. and Dodman, D. (2013). "Populating adaptation: Incorporating population dynamics in climate change adaptation policy and practice". Martine, G. and Schensul D. (eds.) The demography of adaptation to climate change. New York, London and Mexico City: United Nations Population Fund, International Institute for Environment and Development and El Colegio de México

Skeldon, R. (2008). "Linkages between internal and international migration". DeWind J. and Holdaway J. (eds.). Migration and development across borders: Research and policy perspectives on internal and international migration". Geneva and New York: International Organization for Migration and Social Science Research Council

Ssewanyana, S., Younger, S. and Kasirye, I. (2007). Poverty under conflict: The case for Northern Uganda. Paper for the Conference: Economic Development in Africa. Oxford: Centre for the Study of African Economies

Stark, O. and Bloom, D.E. (1985). "The new economics of labour migration". The American Economic Review, 75(2): 173-178

Stephens, N.M., Hamedani, M.G., Markus, H.R., Bergsieker, H.B. and Eloul, L. (2009). "Why did they "choose" to stay? Perspectives of Hurricane Katrina observers and survivors". Psychological Science 20(7): 878-886

Tacoli, C. (2009). "Crisis or adaptation? Migration and climate change in a context of high mobility". Environment and Urbanization, 21(2): 513-525

Tacoli, C. (2013). "Migration as a response to local and global transformations: a typology of mobility in the context of climate change". Martine, G. and Schensul D. (eds.) The demography of adaptation to climate change. New York, London and Mexico City: United Nations Population Fund, International Institute for Environment and Development and El Colegio de México

Taylor, E. (1999). "The new economics of labour migration and the role of remittances in the migration process". International Migration 37(1): 63-88

TBC (8 August 2011). Floods and landslides hit refugee camps. Available at: http://theborderconsortium.org/announcements/2011-08-08-news-mrml-flood.htm

UN-HABITAT (2010). State of the world's cities 2008/2009: Harmonious cities. London: Earthscan

UNDESA (2008). An overview of urbanization, internal migration, population distribution and development in the world. New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs: Population Division

UNDP (2009). Human Development Report 2009: Overcoming barriers, human mobility and development. New York: United Nations Development Programme

UNEP (2000). Report of the brainstorming on environmental impact of refugee settlement and flows in Africa. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme

UNEP (2003). Global environmental outlook, 2003. Geneva: United Nations Environment Programme

UNEP (2011). Livelihood security: Climate change, migration and conflict in the Sahel. Geneva: United Nations Environment Programme

UNESCO, Institute of Statistics Data Centre: http://stats.uis.unesco.org/

UNISDR (2005). Hyogo framework for action 2005-2015: Building the resilience of nations and communities to disasters (A/CONF.206/6). Geneva: United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction

UNISDR (2009). Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction, 2009. Geneva: United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction

UNISDR (2013a). Chair's summary: Fourth session of the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction, Geneva, 21-23 May 2013. Geneva: United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction

UNISDR (2013b). Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction, 2013. Geneva: United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction

Valero-Gil, J. (2008). Remittances and the household's expenditure on health. MPRA Working Paper 9572, Munich: University Library

van Beukering, P.J.H., Papyrakis, E., Bouma, J. and Brouwer, R. (2013). "The economics of ecosystem services and poverty". van Beukering, P.J.H., Papyrakis, E., Bouma, J. and Brouwer, R. (eds.) Nature's wealth: The economics of ecosystem services and poverty. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Wane, A. (2006). Review of the literature on pastoral economics and marketing: West Africa. Dakar: World Initiative for Sustainable Pastoralism

Warner, K., Afifi, T., Henry, K., Rawe, T., Smith, C. and de Sherbinin, A. (2012). Where the rain falls: Climate change, livelihood security, and migration. Paris and Bonn: CARE France and United Nations University

Watson, J.T., Gayer, M., and Connolly, M.A. (2007). "Epidemics after natural disasters". Emerging infectuous diseases, 13(1): 1-5

Wisner, B. (2003). "Sustainable suffering? Reflections on development and disaster vulnerability in the post-Johannesbug world". Regional Development Dialogue, 24(1): 135-148

Wisner, B., Blaikie, P., Cannon, T. and Davis, I. (2004). At risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters, second edition. London and New York: Routledge

Wisner, B., Gaillard, J.C. and Kelman, I. (2012). "Framing disaster: theories and stories seeking to understand hazards, vulnerability and risk". Wisner, B., Gaillard, J.C. and Kelman, I. The Routledge handbook of hazards and distaster risk reduction. London: Routledge

Woodruff, C. and Zenteno, R. (2001). Remittances and micro enterprise in Mexico. UCSD working paper. San Diego: Univeristy of Califormnia

World Bank (2006). Resilience amidst conflict. An assessment of poverty in Nepal, 1995-96 and 2003-04. Washington D.C., The World Bank

World Bank (2006b). Global economic prospects 2006: Economic implications of remittances and migration. Washington, D.C.:The World Bank

World Bank (2009). World development report 2009: Reshaping economic geography. Washington, D.C.: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, The World Bank

World Bank (2013a). Lebanon: Economic and social impact assessment of the Syrian Conflict. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank

World Bank (2013b). Migration and development brief, 20. Available at: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INT-PROSPECTS/Resources/334934-1288990760745/MigrationDevelopmentBrief20.pdf

World Food Programme (1998). WFP and the environment: Issues and priorities, policy issue.Rome: WFP

Yang, D. and Choi, H. (2007). "Are remittances insurance? Evidence from rainfall shocks in the Philippines". The World Bank Economic Review, 21(2): 219-248

Zachariah, K.C. and Rajan, S.I. (2004). Gulf revisited. Working Paper 363. Thiruvananthapuram: Centre for Development Studies

www.iom.int/cms/drr | trd@iom.int

